# Progress Report 3 (GSOC '16)

The project now has been completely moved to this <u>repository</u>. Each scenario now has its own status report showing the success/failure of each test and their respective description. Comments regarding the current progress and suggestions can be made <u>here</u>.

## <u>Scenarios Completed from Linux repo</u>

### <u>Selective Acknowledgements</u>

I have verified the support for selective acknowledgements through the test for <u>fast retransmit</u>. However, a more rigorous test will be done with assertions for tcp\_info, but currently using them is creating an issue which I have addressed later in the report.

#### Fast Retransmit

One successful test for this scenario has been done. However, I am looking forward to thinking more variations which can be brought for this scenario.

## Additional scenarios from the proposal

#### <u>Simultaneous Connection close</u>

#### Summary

In this scenario, I check for the case when both the sender and receiver simultaneously close their connections. The conclusions which can be drawn are -

- ➤ After the client sends a FIN-ACK, the sender first has to ACK before sending out a FIN after close()'ing the connection.
- ➤ It is not at all possible for the sender to send out **FIN** before **ACK**, even though both sender-receiver simultaneously close their connections. This seems an odd behavior to me.

#### <u>Urgent Pointer</u>

I have figured out <u>where in packetdrill</u> is the code for urgent pointer, will be using it to complete the 2 additional scenarios mentioned in the proposal.

## <u>Current problems</u>

#### Silly window avoidance

So since FreeBSD uses <u>delayed acknowledgements</u> (until now I have encountered a max of 100 ms), I wanted to check if Nagle's algorithm is being used by the sender (just for curiosity). So if it does, data will be queued in the sender's buffer until ACK is received from the other side. I tried checking this by sending a small amount of data segment (less than MSS). However, when we use PUSH, the data is instantly sent to the receiver's application, without being queued inside sender's buffer. I was wondering if there could be some way in which we can queue the data in the sender's buffer (and receiver's as well) until it reaches MSS, or if it receives an ACK from the other side. I can also try switching to some other testing mechanism (say netperf) for testing some specific scenarios.

### An interesting problem while working with assertions (Linux)

I was playing around with assertions for tcp\_info on Linux, and I came across an interesting observation for <u>fast-retransmit</u>. The test is pretty simple, but something strange was happening here. The **MSS** is specified to be 1000, however, on pushing segments of length 1000, I got these errors -

```
script packet: 0.200699 . 1001:2001(1000) ack 1 actual packet: 0.200599 . 1001:3001(2000) ack 1 win 229
```

This seems strange as the actual packets are of size 2000. Earlier when I used to test for **PMTU** discovery, I always used to get errors when pushing data segments in multiples of **MSS** (Linux

inclusive, even in Linux I haven't been successful in getting an ICMP message notifying of the PMTU).

On the other hand, this test makes <u>successful</u> use of <u>tcpi\_retrans</u> option which fails for FreeBSD, as pointed out in the next section.

## Patch for packetdrill

So currently while using the following assertions, I was initially getting some errors -

```
%{
assert tcpi_unacked == 5
assert tcpi_sacked == 0
assert tcpi_retrans == 1
}%
```

I have made a small attempt at getting my hands dirty with the source code for code.c and tcp.h, and till now have arrived at the following patch, though it doesn't seem to work at the moment as it can be seen in this log. The values of tcpi\_sacked, tcpi\_unacked and tcpi\_sacked always remain 0, which is strange. Having a look at the error logs, it seems that only the previously used values of tcp\_info are available for testing, and indeed on using them as assertions, the code just works fine. I also intend to add more options for tcp\_info taking reference from this document and making an effective use of these assertions while testing. Also that since this document points to making use of similar options in netperf, we can anytime turn to it for testing these specific tcp\_info options.

## <u>Timeline</u>

Start	End	Task
	15 July	All the remaining scenarios from Linux repository are done once the above mentioned observations gets resolved.
16 July	31 July	Attempt at completing the additional scenarios mentioned in proposal.  > Simultaneous connection close is done.  > Urgent Pointer will be done by tomorrow.  > Once I figure out a way of buffering data instead of simply PUSH'ing, most of the scenarios will be covered.
1 Aug	11 Aug	Attempt at patching packetdrill by adding a new mode of testing in which remote host will not need an instance of packetdrill running.
12 Aug	14 Aug	Code review
15 Aug		End of coding (soft)
23 Aug		End of coding (hard)